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PREFACE

This document specifies a protocol for use in communication between Host computers on the ARPA Network. In particular, it provides for connection of independent processes in different Hosts, control of the flow of data over established connections, and several ancillary functions. Although basically self-contained, this document specifies only one of several ARPA Network protocols; all protocol specifications are collected in the document *Current Network Protocols*, NIC #7104.

This document supercedes NIC #7147 of the same title. Principal differences between the documents include:

- prohibition of spontaneous RET, ERP, and RRP commands
- a discussion of the problem of unanswered CLS commands (page 13)
- a discussion of the implications of queueing and not queueing RPCs (page 11)
- the strong recommendation that received ERR commands be logged, and some additional ERR specifications.

In addition to the above, several minor editorial changes have been made.

Although there are many individuals associated with the network who are knowledgeable about protocol issues, individuals with questions pertaining to Network protocols should initially contact one of the following:

Steve Crocker  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, Virginia 22209  
(202) 694-5921 or 5922

Alex McKenzie  
Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02133  
(617) 491-1350 ext. 441
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1. INTRODUCTION

The ARPA Network provides a capability for geographically separated computers, called Hosts, to communicate with each other. The Host computers typically differ from one another in type, speed, word length, operating system, etc. Each Host computer is connected into the network through a local small computer called an Interface Message Processor (IMP). The complete network is formed by interconnecting these IMPs, all of which are virtually identical, through wideband communications lines supplied by the telephone company. Each IMP is programmed to store and forward messages to the neighboring IMPs in the network. During a typical operation, a Host passes a message to its local IMP; the first 32 bits of this message include the “network address” of a destination Host. The message is passed from IMP to IMP through the Network until it finally arrives at the destination IMP, which in turn passes it along to the destination Host.

Specifications for the physical and logical message transfer between a Host and its local IMP are contained in Bolt Beranek and Newman (BBN) Report No. 1822. These specifications are generally called the first level protocol or Host/IMP Protocol. This protocol is not by itself, however, sufficient to specify meaningful communication between processes running in two dissimilar Hosts. Rather, the processes must have some agreement as to the method of initiating communication, the interpretation of transmitted data, and so forth. Although it would be possible for such agreements to be reached by each pair of Hosts (or processes) interested in communication, a more general arrangement is desirable in order to minimize the amount of implementation necessary for Network-wide communication. Accordingly, the Host organizations formed a Network Working Group (NWG) to facilitate an exchange of ideas and to formulate additional specifications for Host-to-Host communications.

The NWG has adopted a “layered” approach to the specification of communications protocol. The inner layer is the Host/IMP protocol. The next layer specifies methods of establishing communications paths, managing buffer space at each end of a communications path, and providing a method of “interrupting” a communications path. This protocol, which will be used by all higher-level protocols, is known as the second level protocol, or Host/Host protocol. (It is worth noting that, although the IMP sub-network provides a capability for message switching, the Host/Host protocol is based on the concept of line switching.) Examples of further layers of protocol currently developed or anticipated include:
1) An *Initial Connection Protocol* (ICP) which provides a convenient standard method for several processes to gain simultaneous access to some specific process (such as the “logger”) at another Host.

2) A *Telecommunication Network* (TELNET) protocol which provides for the “mapping” of an arbitrary keyboard-printer terminal into a Network Virtual Terminal (NVT), to facilitate communication between a terminal user at one Host site and a terminal-serving process at some other site which “expects” to be connected to a (local) terminal logically different from the (remote) terminal actually in use. The TELNET protocol specifies use of the ICP to establish the communication path between the terminal user and the terminal-service process.

3) A *Data Transfer* protocol to specify standard methods of formatting data for shipment through the network.

4) A *File Transfer* protocol to specify methods for reading, writing, and updating files stored at a remote Host. The File Transfer protocol specifies that the actual transmission of data should be performed in accordance with the Data Transfer protocol.

5) A *Graphics* protocol to specify the means for exchanging graphics display information.

6) A *Remote Job Service* (RJS) protocol to specify methods for submitting input to, obtaining output from, and exercising control over Hosts which provide batch processing facilities.

The remainder of this document describes and specifies the Host/Host, or second level, protocol as formulated by the Network Working Group.
II. COMMUNICATION CONCEPTS

The IMP sub-network imposes a number of physical restrictions on communications between Hosts; these restrictions are presented in BBN Report Number 1822. In particular, the concepts of leaders, messages, padding, links, and message types are of interest to the design of Host/Host protocol. The following discussion assumes that the reader is familiar with these concepts.

Although there is little uniformity among the Hosts in either hardware or operating systems, the notion of multiprogramming dominates most of the systems. These Hosts can each concurrently support several users, with each user running one or more processes. Many of these processes may want to use the network concurrently, and thus a fundamental requirement of the Host/Host protocol is to provide for process-to-process communication over the network. Since the first level protocol only takes cognizance of Hosts, and since the several processes in execution within a Host are usually independent, it is necessary for the second level protocol to provide a richer addressing structure.

Another factor which influenced the Host/Host protocol design is the expectation that typical process-to-process communication will be based, not on a solitary message, but rather upon a sequence of messages. One example is the sending of a large body of information, such as a data base, from one process to another. Another example is an interactive conversation between two processes, with many exchanges.

These considerations led to the introduction of the notions of connections, a Network Control Program, a “control link”, “control commands”, connection byte size, message headers, and sockets.

A connection is an extension of a link. A connection couples two processes so that output from one process is input to the other. Connections are defined to be simplex (i.e., unidirectional), so two connections are necessary if a pair of processes are to converse in both directions.

Processes within a Host are envisioned as communicating with the rest of the network through a Network Control Program (NCP), resident in that Host, which implements the second level protocol. The primary function of the NCP is to establish connections, break connections, and control data flow over the connections. We will describe the NCP as though it were part of
the operating system of a Host supporting multiprogramming, although the actual method of implementing the NCP may be different in some Hosts.

In order to accomplish its tasks, the NCP of one Host must communicate with the NCPs of other Hosts. To this end, a particular link between each pair of Hosts has been designated as the control link. Messages transmitted over the control link are called control messages, and must always be interpreted by an NCP as a sequence of one or more control commands. For example, one kind of control command is used to initiate a connection, while another kind carries notification that a connection has been terminated.

The concept of a message, as used above, is an artifact of the IMP sub-network; network message boundaries may have little intrinsic meaning to communicating processes. Accordingly, it has been decided that the NCP (rather than each transmitting process) should be responsible for segmenting interprocess communication into network messages. Therefore, it is a principal of the second level protocol that no significance may be inferred from message boundaries by a receiving process. The only exception to this principle is in control messages, each of which must contain an integral number of control commands.

Since message boundaries are selected by the transmitting NCP, the receiving NCP must be prepared to concatenate successive messages from the network into a single (or differently divided) transmission for delivery to the receiving process. The fact that Hosts have different word sizes means that a message from the network might end in the middle of a word at the receiving end, and thus the concatenation of the next message might require the receiving Host to carry out extensive bit-shifting. Because bit-shifting is typically very costly in terms of computer processing time, the protocol includes the notions of connection byte size and message headers.

As part of the process of establishing a connection, the processes involved must agree on a connection byte size. Each message sent over the connection must then contain an integral number of bytes of this size. Thus the pair of processes involved in a connection can choose a mutually convenient byte size, for example, the least common multiple of their Host word lengths. It is important to note that the ability to choose a byte size must be available to the processes involved in the connection; an NCP is prohibited from imposing an arbitrary byte size

* Note that in BBN Report Number 1822, messages of non-zero type are called control messages, and are used to control the flow of information between a Host and its IMP. In this document, the term “control message” is used for a message of type zero transmitted over the control link. The IMPs take no special notice of these messages.
on any process running in its own Host. In particular, an outer layer of protocol may specify a byte size to be used by that protocol. If some NCP is unable to handle that byte size, then the outer layer of protocol will not be implementable on that Host.

The IMP sub-network requires that the first 32 bits of each message (called the leader) contain addressing information, including destination Host address and link number. The second level protocol extends the required information at the beginning of each message to a total of 72 bits; these 72 bits are called the message header. A length of 72 bits is chosen since most Hosts either can work conveniently with 8-bit units of data or have word lengths of 18 or 36 bits; 72 is the least common multiple of these lengths. Thus, the length chosen for the message header should reduce bit-shifting problems for many Hosts. In addition to the leader, the message header includes a field giving the byte size used in the message, a field giving the number of bytes in the message, and “filler” fields. The format of the message header is fully described in Section IV.

Another major concern of the second level protocol is a method for reference to processes in other Hosts. Each Host has some internal scheme for naming processes, but these various schemes are typically different and may even be incompatible. Since it is not practical to impose a common internal process naming scheme, a standard intermediate name space is used, with a separate portion of the name space allocated to each Host. Each Host must have the ability to map internal process identifiers into its portion of this name space.

The elements of the name space are called sockets. A socket forms one end of a connection, and a connection is fully specified by a pair of sockets. A socket is identified by a Host number and a 32-bit socket number. The same 32-bit number in different Hosts represents different sockets.

A socket is either a receive socket or a send socket, and is so marked by its low-order bit (0 = receive; 1 = send). This property is called the socket’s gender. The sockets at either end of a connection must be of opposite gender. Except for the gender, second level protocol places no constraints on the assignment of socket numbers within a Host.
III. NCP FUNCTIONS

The functions of the NCP are to establish connections, terminate connections, control flow, transmit interrupts, and respond to test inquiries. These functions are explained in this section, and control commands are introduced as needed. In Section IV the formats of all control commands are presented together.

Connection Establishment

The commands used to establish a connection are STR (sender-to-receiver) and RTS (receiver-to-sender).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>send socket</td>
<td>receive socket</td>
<td>size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTS</td>
<td>receive socket</td>
<td>send socket</td>
<td>link</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The STR command is sent from a prospective sender to a prospective receiver, and the RTS from a prospective receiver to a prospective sender. The send socket field names a socket local to the prospective sender; the receive socket field names a socket local to the prospective receiver. In the STR command, the “size” field contains an unsigned binary number (in the range 1 to 255; zero is prohibited) specifying the byte size to be used for all messages over the connection. In the RTS command, the “link” field specifies a link number; all messages over the connection must be sent over the link specified by this number. These two commands are referred to as requests-for-connection (RFCs). An STR and an RTS match if the receive socket fields match and the send socket fields match. A connection is established when a matching pair of RFCs have been exchanged. *Hosts are prohibited from establishing more than one connection to any local socket.*

With respect to a particular connection, the Host containing the send socket is called the *sending Host* and the Host containing the receive socket is called the *receiving Host*. A Host may connect one of its receive sockets to one of its send sockets, thus becoming both the sending Host and the receiving Host for that connection. These terms apply only to data flow; control messages will, in general, be transmitted in both directions.

* The number shown above each control command field is the length of that field in bits.
A Host sends an RFC either to request a connection, or to accept a foreign Host’s request. Since RFC commands are used both for requesting and for accepting the establishment of a connection, it is possible for either of two cooperating processes to initiate connection establishment. As a consequence, a family of processes may be created with connection-initiating actions built-in, and the processes within this family may be started up (in different Hosts) in arbitrary order provided that appropriate queueing is performed by the Hosts involved (see below).

There is no prescribed lifetime for an RFC. A Host is permitted to queue incoming RFCs and withhold a response for an arbitrarily long time, or, alternatively, to reject requests (see Connection Termination below) immediately if it does not have a matching RFC outstanding. It may be reasonable, for example, for an NCP to queue an RFC that refers to some currently unused socket until a local process takes control of that socket number and tells the NCP to accept or reject the request. Of course, the Host which sent the RFC may be unwilling to wait for an arbitrarily long time, so it may abort the request. On the other hand, some NCP implementations may not include any space for queueing RFCs, and thus can be expected to reject RFCs unless the RFC sequence was initiated locally.

Queueing Considerations

The decision to queue, or not queue, incoming RFCs has important implications which NCP implementers must not ignore. Each RFC which is queued, of course, requires a small amount of memory in the Host doing the queueing. If each incoming RFC is queued until a local process seizes the local socket and accepts (or rejects) the RFC, but no local process ever seizes the socket, the RFC must be queued “forever.” Theoretically this could occur infinitely many times (there is no reason not to queue several RFCs for a single local socket, letting the local process decide which, if any, to accept) thus requiring infinite storage for the RFC queue. On the other hand, if no queueing is performed the cooperating processes described above will be able to establish a desired connection only by accident (when they are started up such that one issues its RFC while the RFC of the other is in transit in the network—clearly an unlikely occurrence).

Perhaps the most reasonable solution to the problems posed above is for each NCP to give processes running in its own Host two options for attempting to initiate connections. The first option would allow a process to cause an RFC to be sent to a specified remote socket; with the NCP notifying the process as to whether the RFC were accepted or rejected by the remote Host. The second option would allow a process to tell its own NCP to “listen” for an RFC to a
specified local socket from some remote socket (the process might also specify the particular remote socket and/or Host it wishes to communicate with) and to accept the RFC (i.e., return a matching RFC) if and when it arrives. Note that this also involves queueing (of “listen” requests), but it is internal queueing which is susceptible to reasonable management by the local Host. If this implementation were available, one of two cooperating processes could “listen” while the other process caused a series of RFCs to be sent to the “listening” socket until one was accepted. Thus, no queueing of incoming RFCs would be required, although it would do no harm.

*It is the intent of the protocol that each NCP should provide either the “listen” option described above or a substantial queueing facility.* This is not, however, an absolute requirement of the protocol.

**Connection Termination**

The command used to terminate a connection is CLS (close).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>my socket</td>
<td>your socket</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The “my socket” field contains the socket local to the sender of the CLS command. The “your socket” field contains the socket local to the receiver of the CLS command. *Each side must send and receive a CLS command before connection termination is completed and the sockets are free to participate in other connections.*

It is not necessary for a connection to be established (i.e., for both RFCs to be exchanged) before connection termination begins. For example, if a Host wishes to refuse a request for connection, it sends back a CLS instead of a matching RFC. The refusing Host then waits for the initiating Host to acknowledge the refusal by returning a CLS. Similarly, if a Host wishes to abort its outstanding request for a connection, it sends a CLS command. The foreign Host is obliged to acknowledge the CLS with its own CLS. Note that even though the connection was never established, CLS commands must be *exchanged* before the sockets are free for other use.

After a connection is established, CLS commands sent by the receiver and sender have slightly different effects. CLS commands sent by the sender indicate that no more messages will be sent over the connection. *This command must not be sent if there is a message in transit over the connection.* A CLS command sent by the receiver acts as a demand on the sender to
terminate transmission. However, since there is a delay in getting the CLS command to the sender, the receiver must expect more input.

A Host should “quickly” acknowledge an incoming CLS so the foreign Host can purge its tables. However, there is no prescribed time period in which a CLS must be acknowledged.

Because the CLS command is used both to initiate closing, aborting and refusing a connection, and to acknowledge closing, aborting and refusing a connection, race conditions can occur. However, they do not lead to ambiguous or erroneous results, as illustrated in the following examples.

Example 1: Suppose that Host A sends Host B a request for connection, and then A sends a CLS to Host B because it is tired of waiting for a reply. However, just when A sends its CLS to B, B sends a CLS to A to refuse the connection. A will “believe” B is acknowledging the abort, and B will “believe” A is acknowledging its refusal, but the outcome will be correct.

Example 2: Suppose that Host A sends Host B an RFC followed by a CLS as in example 1. In this case, however, B sends a matching RFC to A just when A sends its CLS. Host A may “believe” that the RFC is an attempt (on the part of B) to establish a new connection or may understand the race condition; in either case it can discard the RFC since its socket is not yet free. Host B will “believe” that the CLS is breaking an established connection, but the outcome is correct since a matching CLS is the required response, and both A and B will then terminate the connection.

Every NCP implementation is faced with the problem of what to do if a matching CLS is not returned “quickly” by a foreign Host (i.e., if the foreign Host appears to be violating protocol in this respect). One naive answer is to hold the connection in a partially closed state “forever” waiting for a matching CLS. There are two difficulties with this solution. First, the socket involved may be a “scarce resource” such as the “logger” socket specified by an Initial Connection Protocol (see NIC # 7101) which the local Host cannot afford to tie up indefinitely. Second, a partially broken (or malicious) process in a foreign Host may send an unending stream of RFCs which the local Host wishes to refuse by sending CLS commands and waiting for a match. This could, in worst cases, require $2^{32}$! socket pairs to be stored before duplicates began to appear. Clearly, no Host is prepared to store (or search) this much information.
A second possibility sometimes suggested is for the Host which is waiting for matching CLS commands (Host A) to send a RST (see page 17) to the offending Host (Host B), thus allowing all tables to be reinitialized at both ends. This would be rather unsatisfactory to any user at Host A who happened to be performing useful work on Host B via network connections, since these connections would also be broken by the RST.

Most implementers, recognizing these problems, have adopted some unofficial timeout period after which they “forget” a connection even if a matching CLS has not been received. The danger with such an arrangement is that if a second connection between the same pair of sockets is later established, and a CLS finally arrives for the first connection, the second connection is likely to be closed. This situation can only arise, however, if one Host violates protocol in two ways; first by failing to respond quickly to an incoming CLS, and second by permitting establishment of a connection involving a socket which it believes is already in use. It has been suggested that the network adopt some standard timeout period, but the NWG has been unable to arrive at a period which is both short enough to be useful and long enough to be acceptable to every Host. Timeout periods in current use seem to range between approximately one minute and approximately five minutes. It must be emphasized that all timeout periods, although they are relatively common, reasonably safe, and quite useful, are in violation of the protocol since their use can lead to connection ambiguities.

Flow Control

After a connection is established, the sending Host sends messages over the agreed-upon link to the receiving Host. The receiving NCP accepts messages from its IMP and queues them for its various processes. Since it may happen that the messages arrive faster than they can be processed, some mechanism is required which permits the receiving Host to quench the flow from the sending Host.

The flow control mechanism requires the receiving Host to allocate buffer space for each connection and to notify the sending Host of how much space is available. The sending Host keeps track of how much room is available and never sends more data than it believes the receiving Host can accept.

To implement this mechanism, the sending Host keeps two counters associated with each connection, a message counter and a bit counter. Each counter is initialized to zero when the connection is established and is increased by allocate (ALL) control commands sent from the receiving Host as described below. When sending a message, the NCP of the sending Host
subtracts one from the message counter and the text length (defined below) from the bit counter. The sender is prohibited from sending if either counter would be decremented below zero. The sending Host may also return all or part of the message or bit space allocation with a return (RET) command upon receiving a give-back (GVB) command from the receiving Host (see below).

The text length of a message is defined as the product of the connection byte size and the byte count for the message; both of these quantities appear in the message header. Messages with a zero byte count, hence a zero text length, are specifically permitted. Messages with zero text length do not use bit space allocation, but do use message space allocation. The flow control mechanisms do not pertain to the control link, since connections are never explicitly established over this link.

The control command used to increase the sender’s bit counter and message counter is ALL (allocate).

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
8 & 8 & 16 & 32 \\
ALL & link & msg space & bit space \\
\end{array}
\]

This command is sent only from the receiving Host to the sending Host, and is legal only when a connection using the link number appearing in the “link” field is established. The “msg space” field and the “bit space” field are defined to be unsigned binary integers specifying the amounts by which the sender’s message counter and bit counter (respectively) are to be incremented. The receiver is prohibited from incrementing the sender’s counter above \((2^{16} - 1)\), or the sender’s bit counter above \((2^{32} - 1)\). In general, this rule will require the receiver to maintain counters which are incremented and decremented according to the same rules as the sender’s counters.

The receiving Host may request that the sending Host return all or part of its current allocation. The control command for this request is GVB (give-back).

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\
GVB & link & f_m & f_b \\
\end{array}
\]

This command is sent only from the receiving Host to the sending Host, and is legal only when a connection using the link number in the “link” field is established. The fields \(f_m\) and \(f_b\) are defined as the fraction (in 128ths) of the current message space allocation and bit space allocation (respectively) to be returned. If either of the fractions is equal to or greater than one,
all of the corresponding allocation must be returned. Fractions are used since, with messages in transit, the sender and receiver may not agree on the actual allocation at every point in time.

Upon receiving a GVB command, the sending Host must return at least the requested portions of the message and bit space allocations. (A sending Host is prohibited from spontaneously returning portions of the message and bit space allocations.) The control command for performing this function is RET (return).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RET</th>
<th>link</th>
<th>msg space</th>
<th>bit space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This command is sent only from the sending Host to the receiving Host, and is legal only when a connection using the link number in the “link” field is established and a GVB command has been received from the receiving Host. The “msg space” field and the “bit space” field are defined as unsigned binary integers specifying the amounts by which the sender’s message counter and bit counter (respectively) have been decremented due to the RET activity (i.e., the amounts of message and bit space allocation being returned). NCPs are obliged to answer a GVB with a RET “quickly”; however, there is no prescribed time period in which the answering RET must be sent.

Some Hosts will allocate only as much space as they can guarantee for each link. These Hosts will tend to use the GVB command only to reclaim space which is being filled very slowly or not at all. Other Hosts will allocate more space than they have, so that they may use their space more efficiently. Such a Host will then need to use the GVB command when the input over a particular link comes faster than it is being processed.

**Interrupts**

The second level protocol has included a mechanism by which the transmission over a connection may be “interrupted.” The meaning of the “interrupt” is not defined at this level, but is made available for use by outer layers of protocol. The interrupt command sent from the receiving Host to the sending Host is INR (interrupt-by-receiver).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INR</th>
<th>link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In particular, fractional returns must be rounded up, not truncated.
The interrupt command sent from the sending Host to the receiving Host is INS (interrupt-by-sender).

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
8 & 8 \\ 
\hline 
\text{INS} & \text{link} \\
\end{array}
\]

The INR and INS commands are legal only when a connection using the link number in the “link” field is established.

**Test Inquiry**

It may sometimes be useful for one Host to determine if some other Host is capable of carrying on network conversations. The control command to be used for this purpose is ECO (echo).

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
8 & 8 \\ 
\hline 
\text{ECO} & \text{data} \\
\end{array}
\]

The “data” field may contain any bit configuration chosen by the Host sending the ECO. Upon receiving an ECO command an NCP must respond by returning the data to the sender in an ERP (echo-reply) command.

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
8 & 8 \\ 
\hline 
\text{ERP} & \text{data} \\
\end{array}
\]

A Host should “quickly” respond (with an ERP command) to an incoming ECO command. However, there is no prescribed time period, after the receipt of an ECO, in which the ERP must be returned. A Host is prohibited from sending an ERP when no ECO has been received, or from sending an ECO to a Host while a previous ECO to that Host remains “unanswered.” Any of the following constitute an “answer” to an ECO: information from the local IMP that the ECO was discarded by the network (e.g., IMP/Host message type 7—Destination Dead), ERP, RST, or RRP (see below).

**Reinitialization**

Occasionally, due to lost control messages, system “crashes”, NCP errors, or other factors, communication between two NCPs will be disrupted. One possible effect of any such disruption might be that neither of the involved NCPs could be sure that its stored information regarding
connections with the other Host matched the information stored by the NCP of the other Host. In this situation, an NCP may wish to reinitialize its tables and request that the other Host do likewise; for this purpose the protocol provides the pair of control commands RST (reset) and RRP (reset-reply).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8 RST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

8 RRP

The RST command is to be interpreted by the Host receiving it as a signal to purge its NCP tables of any entries which arose from communication with the Host which sent the RST. The Host sending the RST should likewise purge its NCP tables of any entries which arise from communication with the Host to which the RST was sent. The Host receiving the RST should acknowledge receipt by returning an RRP. Once the first Host has sent an RST to the second Host, the first Host is not obliged to communicate with the second Host (except for responding to RST) until the second Host returns an RRP. In fact, to avoid synchronization errors, the first Host should not communicate with the second until the RST is answered. Of course, if the IMP subnetwork returns a “Destination Dead” (type 7) message in response to the control message containing the RST, an RRP should not be expected. If both NCPs decide to send RSTs at approximately the same time, then each Host will receive an RST and each must answer with an RRP, even though its own RST has not yet been answered.

Some Hosts may choose to “broadcast” RSTs to the entire network when they “come up.” One method of accomplishing this would be to send an RST command to each of the 256 possible Host addresses; the IMP subnetwork would return a “Destination Dead” (type 7) message for each non-existent Host, as well as for each Host actually “dead.” However, no Host is ever obliged to transmit an RST command.

Hosts are prohibited from sending an RRP when no RST has been received. Further, Hosts may send only one RST in a single control message and should wait a “reasonable time” before sending another RST to the same Host. Under these conditions, a single RRP constitutes an “answer” to all RSTs sent to that Host, and any other RRPs arriving from that Host should be discarded.
IV. DECLARATIVE SPECIFICATIONS

Message Format

All Host-to-Host messages (i.e., messages of type zero) shall have a header 72 bits long consisting of the following fields (see Figure 1):

- **Bits 1–32**: Leader—The contents of this field must be constructed according to the specifications contained in BBN Report Number 1822.

- **Bits 33–40**: Field M₁—Must be zero.

- **Bits 41–48**: Field S—Connection byte size. This size must be identical to the byte size in the STR used in establishing the connection. If this message is being transmitted over the control link the connection byte size must be 8.

- **Bits 49–64**: Field C—Byte Count. This field specifies the number of bytes in the text portion of the message. A zero value in the C field is explicitly permitted.

- **Bits 65–72**: Field M₂—Must be zero.

Following the header, the message shall consist of a text field of C bytes, where each byte is S bits in length. Following the text there will be field M₁ followed by padding. The M₁ field is zero or more bits long and must be all zero; this field may be used to fill out a message to a word boundary.
The message header must, among other things, enable the NCP at the receiving Host to identify correctly the connection over which the message was sent. Given a set of messages from Host A to Host B, the only field in the header under the control of the NCP at Host B is the link number (assigned via the RTS control command). Therefore, each NCP must ensure that, at a given point in time, for each connection for which it is the receiver, a unique link is assigned. Recall that the link is specified by the sender’s address and the link number; thus a unique link number must be assigned to each connection to a given Host.
Link Assignment

Links are assigned as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Link number</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Control link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–71</td>
<td>Available for connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 72–190</td>
<td>Reserved—not for current use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>To be used only for measurement work under the direction of the Network Measurement Center at UCLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192–255</td>
<td>Available for private experimental use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control Messages

Messages sent over the control link have the same format as other Host-to-Host messages. The connection byte size (Field S in the message header) must be 8. Control messages may not contain more than 120 bytes of text; thus the value of the byte count (Field C in the message header) must be less than or equal to 120.

Control messages must contain an integral number of control commands. A single control command may not be split into parts which are transmitted in different control messages.

Control Commands

Each control command begins with an 8-bit opcode. These opcodes have values of 0, 1, … to permit table lookup upon receipt. Private experimental protocols should be tested using opcodes of 255, 254, …. Most of the control commands are more fully explained in Section III.

NOP—No operation

8

NOP

The NOP command may be sent at any time and should be discarded by the receiver. It may be useful for formatting control messages.
**RST—Reset**

```
8
RST
```

The RST command is used by one Host to inform another that all information regarding previously existing connections, including partially terminated connections, between the two Hosts should be purged from the NCP tables of the Host receiving the RST. Except for responding to RSTs, the Host which sent the RST is not obliged to communicate further with the other Host until an RRP is received in response.

**RRP—Reset reply**

```
8
RRP
```

The RRP command must be sent in reply to an RST command.

**RTS—Request connection, receiver to sender**

```
8  32  32  8
RTS receive socket send socket link
```

The RTS command is used to establish a connection and is sent from the Host containing the receive socket to the Host containing the send socket. The link number for message transmission over the connection is assigned with this command; the “link” field must be between 2 and 71, inclusive.

**STR—Request connection, sender to receiver**

```
8  32  32  8
STR send socket receive socket size
```

The STR command is used to establish a connection and is sent from the Host containing the send socket to the Host containing the receive socket. The connection byte size is assigned with this command; the size must be between 1 and 255, inclusive.
**CLS—Close**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>my socket</td>
<td>your socket</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CLS command is used to terminate a connection. A connection need not be completely established before a CLS is sent.

**ALL—Allocate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>link</td>
<td>msg space</td>
<td>bit space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ALL command is sent from a receiving Host to a sending Host to increase the sending Host’s space counters. This command may be sent only while the connection is established. The receiving Host is prohibited from incrementing the Host’s message counter above \((2^{16}-1)\) or bit counter above \((2^{32}-1)\).

**GVB—Give back**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GVB</td>
<td>link</td>
<td>(f_m)</td>
<td>(f_h)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The GVB command is sent from a receiving Host to a sending Host to request that the sending Host return all or part of its message space and/or bit space allocations. The “fractions” specify what portion (in 128ths) of each allocation must be returned. This command may be sent only while the connection is established.

**RET—Return**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RET</td>
<td>link</td>
<td>msg space</td>
<td>bit space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The RET command is sent from the sending Host to the receiving Host to return all or a part of its message space and/or bit space allocations in response to a GVB command. This command may be sent only while the connection is established.
INR—Interrupt by receiver

```
8  8
INR  link
```

The INR command is sent from the receiving Host to the sending Host when the receiving process wants to interrupt the sending process. This command may be sent only while the connection is established.

INS—Interrupt by sender

```
8  8
INS  link
```

The INS command is sent from the sending Host to the receiving Host when the sending process wants to interrupt the receiving process. This command may be sent only while the connection is established.

ECO—Echo request

```
8  8
ECO  data
```

The ECO command is used only for test purposes. The data field may be any bit configuration convenient to the Host sending the ECO command.

ERP—Echo reply

```
8  8
ERP  data
```

The ERP command must be sent in reply to an ECO command. The data field must be identical to the data field in the incoming ECO command.
ERR—Error detected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERR</td>
<td>code</td>
<td>data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ERR command may be sent whenever a second level protocol error is detected in the input from another Host. In the case that the error condition has a predefined error code, the “code” field specifies the specific error, and the data field gives parameters. For other errors the code field is zero and the data field is idiosyncratic to the sender. Implementers of Network Control Programs are expected to publish timely information on their ERR commands.

The usefulness of the ERR command is compromised if it is merely discarded by the receiver. Thus, sites are urged to record incoming ERRs if possible, and to investigate their cause in conjunction with the sending site. The following codes are defined. Additional codes may be defined later.

a. Undefined (Error code = 0)
   The “data” field is idiosyncratic to the sender.

b. Illegal opcode (Error code = 1)
   An illegal opcode was detected in a control message. The “data” field contains the ten bytes of the control message beginning with the byte containing the illegal opcode. If the remainder of the control message contains less than ten bytes, fill will be necessary; the value of the fill is zeros.

c. Short parameter space (Error code = 2)
   The end of a control message was encountered before all the required parameters of the control command being decoded were found. The “data” field contains the command in error; the value of any fill necessary is zeros.

d. Bad parameters (Error code = 3)
   Erroneous parameters were found in a control command. For example, two receive or two send sockets in an STR, RTS, or CLS; a link number outside the range 2 to 71 (inclusive); an ALL containing a space allocation too large. The “data” field contains the command in error; the value of any fill necessary is zeros.
e. Request on a non-existent socket (Error code = 4)
   A request other than STR or RTS was made for a socket (or link) for which no RFC has been transmitted in either direction. This code is meant to indicate to the NCP receiving it that functions are being performed out of order. The “data” field contains the command in error; the value of any fill necessary is zeros.

f. Socket (link) not connected (Error code = 5)
   There are two cases:

   1. A control command other than STR or RTS refers to a socket (or link) which is not part of an established connection. This code would be used when one RFC had been transmitted, but the matching RFC had not. It is meant to indicate the failure of the NCP receiving it to wait for a response to an RFC. The “data” field contains the command in error; the value of any fill necessary is zeros.

   2. A message was received over a link which is not currently being used for any connection. The contents of the “data” field are the message header followed by the first eight bits of text (if any) or zeros.

**Opcode Assignment**

Opcodes are defined to be eight-bit unsigned binary numbers. The values assigned to opcodes are:

- **NOP** = 0
- **RTS** = 1
- **STR** = 2
- **CLS** = 3
- **ALL** = 4
- **GVB** = 5
- **RET** = 6
- **INR** = 7
- **INS** = 8
- **ECO** = 9
- **ERP** = 10
ERR = 11
RST = 12
RRP = 13

Control Command Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command</th>
<th>Description 1</th>
<th>Description 2</th>
<th>Description 3</th>
<th>Description 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTS</td>
<td>receive socket</td>
<td>send socket</td>
<td>link</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>send socket</td>
<td>receive socket</td>
<td>size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>my socket</td>
<td>your socket</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>link</td>
<td>msg space</td>
<td>bit space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVB</td>
<td>link</td>
<td>f_m</td>
<td>f_b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET</td>
<td>link</td>
<td>msg space</td>
<td>bit space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>link</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS</td>
<td>link</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>